EXHIBIT "C"

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (SEPA) DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE

For more information about this project visit www.redmond.gov/landuseapps

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT NAME: ZONING CODE AMENDMENT TEMP ENCAMPMENT

SEPA FILE NUMBER: SEPA-2015-01938

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:
Zoning Code Text Amendment: Encampment temp use permit

PROJECT LOCATION: CITY WIDE

SITE ADDRESS:

APPLICANT: Cathy Beam

LEAD AGENCY: City of Redmond

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that the requirements of environmental analysis, protection, and mitigation measures have been adequately addressed through the City's regulations and Comprehensive Plan together with applicable State and Federal laws.

Additionally, the lead agency has determined that the proposal does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment as described under SEPA.

An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. **This information is available to the public on request.**

CITY CONTACT INFORMATION

PROJECT PLANNER NAME: David Lee
PHONE NUMBER: 425-556-2462
EMAIL: dlee@redmond.gov

IMPORTANT DATES

COMMENT PERIOD

Depending upon the proposal, a comment period may not be required. An **"X"** is placed next to the applicable comment period provision.

There is no comment period for this DNS. Please see below for appeal provisions.

'X' This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2), and the lead agency will not make a decision on this proposal for 14 days from the date below. Comments can be submitted to the Project Planner, via phone, fax (425)556-2400, email or in person at the Development Services Center located at 15670 NE 85th Street, Redmond, WA 98052. **Comments must be submitted by 10/29/2015.**

APPEAL PERIOD

You may appeal this determination to the City of Redmond Office of the City Clerk, Redmond City Hall, 15670 NE 85th Street, P.O. Box 97010, Redmond, WA 98073-9710, **no later than 5:00 p.m. on 11/12/2015**, by submitting a completed City of Redmond Appeal Application Form available on the City's website at www.redmond.gov or at City Hall. You should be prepared to make specific factual objections.

DATE OF DNS ISSUANCE: October 16, 2015

For more information about the project or SEPA procedures, please contact the project planner.

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Robert G. Odle
Planning Director

SIGNATURE: Robert G. Odle

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Linda E. De Boldt
Public Works Director

SIGNATURE: Linda E. De Boldt

Address: 15670 NE 85th Street Redmond, WA 98052

CITY OF REDMOND

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST
PROJECT ACTION

(Revised 5/27/15)

Purpose of the Checklsit:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the City of Redmond identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply" and indicate the reason why the question "does not apply". It is not adequate to submit responses such as "N/A" or "does not apply"; without providing a reason why the specific section does not relate or cause an impact. Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later. If you need more space to write answers attach them and reference the question number.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the City can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. When you submit this checklist the City may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Planner Name: David Lee

Date of Review: 10/16/15

To Be Completed By Applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use Only
A. BACKGROUND	
1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:	
CODE AMENDMENT TO RZC 21.46.020: TEMPORARY USE PERMITS FOR ENCAMPMENTS	DL
2. Name of applicant:	
CITY OF REDMOND	DL
3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:	
CATHY BEAM 15670 NE 85TH ST; PO BOX 97010, REDMOND WA 425-556-2429	DL
4. Date checklist prepared:	
10/08/15	DL
5. Agency requesting checklist:	
CITY OF REDMOND	DL
6. Give an accurate, brief description of the proposal's scope and nature:	
i. Acreage of the site: NA	DL
ii. Number of dwelling units/ buildings to be constructed: NA	DL
iii. Square footage of dwelling units/ buildings being added: NA	DL
iv. Square footage of pavement being added: NA	DL
v. Use or principal activity: TEMP ENCAMPMENT	DL
vi. Other information:	DL

To Be Completed By Applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use Only
7. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): FINAL PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING BY JANUARY 13TH, 2016 WITH ADOPTION BY COUNCIL AT CLOSEST AVAILABLE MEETING	DL
8. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? ☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, explain.	DL
9. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared or will be prepared directly related to this proposal. NA	DL
10. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? ☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, explain.	DL

To Be Completed By Applicant		Evaluation for Agency Use Only
11.	List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. ZONING CODE AMENDMENT	DL
12.	Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. CODE AMENDMENT TO RZC 21.46.020: TEMPORARY USE PERMITS FOR ENCAMPMENTS. TO ALLOW FOR A TEMPORARY ENCAMPMENT ON A HOST SITE UP TO THREE TIMES WITHIN A FIVE YEAR PERIOD WITH ONE APPLICATION AND FEE.	DL
13.	Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist CITY WIDE	DL

To Be Completed By Applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use Only
B. SUPPLEMENTAL Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment. When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.	
1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise? N/A - NON PROJECT ACTION. PROPOSED ACTION DOES NOT INCREASE DISCHARGE TO WATER, EMISSIONS TO AIR, OR TOXINS OR PRODUCTION OF NOISE THAN WHAT IS ALREADY PERMITTED.	DL
Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are: N/A	DL
2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life? N/A - NON PROJECT ACTION. PROPOSAL DOES NOT AFFECT PLANTS, ANIMALS, FISH, OR MARINE LIFE ANY MORE THAN WHAT IS CURRENTLY PERMITTED.	DL
Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish or marine life are: N/A	DL

To Be Completed By Applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use Only
3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources? N/A - NON PROJECT ACTION. PROPOSAL WILL NOT DEPLETE ENERGY OR NATURAL RESOURCES ANY MORE THAN WHAT IS ALREADY PERMITTED.	DL
Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are: N/A	DL
4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands? N/A - NON PROJECT ACTION. PROPOSAL DOES NOT AFFECT ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS OR AREAS DESIGNATED FOR GOVERNMENTAL PROTECTION; SUCH AS PARKS, WILDERNESS, WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS, THREATENED OR ENDANGERED SPECIES HABITAT, HISTORIC, OR CULTURAL SITES, WETLANDS, FLOOD PLAINS OR PRIME FARMLANDS ANY MORE THAN WHAT IS CURRENTLY PERMITTED.	DL
Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are: N/A	DL

To Be Completed By Applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use Only
5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans? N/A - NON PROJECT ACTION	DL
Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are: N/A - NON PROJECT ACTION	DL
6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities? N/A - NON PROJECT ACTION	DL
Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are: N/A - NON PROJECT ACTION	DL

To Be Completed By Applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use Only
7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment. N/A - NON PROJECT ACTION. THE PROPOSED CODE AMENDMENT DOES NOT CONFLICT WITH LOCAL, STATE, OR FEDERAL LAWS OR REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT.	DL

C. SIGNATURE

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: Cathy Beam Digitally signed by Cathy Beam DN: cn=Cathy Beam Date: 2015.10.08 16:29:52 -07'00'

Name of Signee: Cathy Beam, AICP

Position and Agency/Organization: Principal Planner/City of Redmond

Relationship of Signer to Project: Applicant

Date Submitted: 10/8/15